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Anthony – We are working with the city of Dallas – city design studio (city employees)– nonprofit and city data studio – jointly raise money to fund a couple of staff to curate the data that the city generates – work together with the open data environment – open and private facing. Sitting in city hall, but under the direction of the Institute. A more junior staff can do the work. New staffing model.

Matt – NNIP partners can augment data portal with datasets that city does doesn’t have. Added value and passing back

* Example in Chicago - City can’t hold indiv level energy data, but sustainability officer needs the data
* Refuse data – not valuable and has GPS coordinates – total trash use, household level and ngh level trash use – needed someone to take the raw data and transform into indicators. Needed help to develop the method – then give back the data and SQL code.

April – adding value is important building and housing data from city of Cleveland – starting with the complaint – match across parcel. Connecting parcel to city, county, nonprofit data – needs to be outside of government to cross sectors.

Sarah – Chicago – different types of data for different types of uses – whether to understand a trend, or point in time decision. Process and give back to cnty.

Jessica – a lot of datasets – health, people data, public safety. Hospital discharge data – zip code level. There are strict guidelines on using it. Supports new funding program for housing and health.

Memphis – work with state department of public health on infant mortality.

Children’s Optimal Health example – 2 big hospitals has given then data

Andrew – In Providence, more people data than property, physical data. Less territorial about the people data, find sweet spot between people and physical.

Phyllis – we package data for city and county to get back

Anthony – question – TX discontinued public use data file for vital statistics – now it is a Research Data File – submit, IRB, and need a specific project

Aaron – true in Columbus too, but their “project” is submitted for ongoing DataSource system – never ending.

April – in Cleveland, they always use IRB

Kathy – possibility of Community IRB – check out resources at Community Campus Partnership for health.

Phyllis – Depends on the issue – whether it is actually for intervention purposes, not for research purposes

\*\*

Michigan doesn’t require IRB for vital records data.

Chicago example from Sarah

* City capacity – open data – working on project in south suburbs of Chicago – neighborhoods turning more renter, using code enforcement.
* County level data – proxies for city data. They are concerned about absentee investors. IHS wants to improve their processes.

Matt Gee- opening data is a time-sensitive process. NNIP partners close to community needs and can help prioritize data sets.